TOBACCO INDUSTRY: Manipulating the Youth into a Lifelong Addiction

The tobacco industry causes a net loss to the global economy, annually costing USD 1.4 trillion in economic losses’ and killing 8 million people; with a disproportionate impact in developing nations as over 80% of the world’s 1 billion smokers live in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).\textsuperscript{2} Investment analysts estimate that the industry creates at least 5 times more societal costs than benefits.\textsuperscript{3} Anti-fraud agencies have found evidence of tobacco companies’ complicity in smuggling\textsuperscript{4} and bribery,\textsuperscript{5} resulting in billions in lost revenue. Environmentalists have pointed out that cigarette butts are the most widely littered object in the oceans.\textsuperscript{6} Human rights experts concluded that the tobacco industry must stop producing and marketing tobacco because it is “deeply harmful to human health” and irreconcilable with human rights.\textsuperscript{7}

Tobacco companies hook the vulnerable youth into starting a lifelong addiction through flavors and targeted marketing.

The tobacco industry publicizes its so-called contributions to society to mask long-term health and socio-economic harms while keeping children in tobacco farms, and lobbying against policies that protect children.
I. HOOKING THE YOUTH WITH FLAVORS

Tobacco companies develop a range of flavored products, from cigarettes to emerging and novel products like heated tobacco products (HTPs) and electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) (See Box 1). Flavorings in tobacco products, such as fruit, candy, and mint, mask the harsh taste of tobacco and can make them more appealing to the youth (See Box 3).8

II. TARGETING THE YOUTH

The tobacco industry views the youth and young adults as its future loyal customers. Tobacco use starts during adolescence and about 90% of cigarette smokers first try smoking by age 18.9 All evidence points to the fact that tobacco industry’s marketing activities “recruit new users during their youth” (See Box 3).10

III. MARKETING TO THE YOUTH

The tobacco industry’s marketing activities have led young people to initiate smoking and vaping, prevent users from quitting, and increase tobacco use.11 These include playful product12 and package design (See Box 5)13, brand and corporate marketing (See Box 10),14 point of sale1516 and events marketing for a young crowd (See Box 8),17 pricing strategies to keep products affordable to teens (See Box 12),18 embedded marketing including product placement in movies targeted to kids,19 digital marketing in platforms accessible by teens (See Box 9 and 11),20 sports and culture sponsorships,21 and so-called socially responsible activities that affect youth smoking behavior.22 Tobacco advertising appeals to the youth because it reflects aspirations such as “independence, liberation, attractiveness, adventurousness, sophistication, glamour, athleticism, social acceptability and inclusion, sexual attractiveness, thinness, popularity, rebelliousness, and being ‘cool’ ” (See Box 3).23

IV. CAUSING LIFELONG ADDICTION

The tobacco industry retains a key ingredient in their products, nicotine, which is more addictive than cocaine or heroin.24 Nicotine tricks the nerve cells into sending a message to release more dopamine which is passed on to give a feeling of “high.” The young brain creates more receptors to handle the anticipated nicotine, which leads teens to needing more nicotine to get the same high. Because the brain continues to develop until about age 25, the young brain can get addicted more easily than adults (See Box 2). Nicotine addiction leads to an increased risk of addiction to other substances.25
Transnational tobacco companies have invested research in manipulating the effect of nicotine on the brain. Nicotine affects parts of the brain responsible for learning and memory and, in the adolescent brain, the effect can become permanent. Nicotine can also impair decision-making ability in the long term and worsen anxiety, irritability, and impulsivity. Moreover, youth who smoke are at increased risk of developing mental disorders such as major depressive disorder, agoraphobia, generalized anxiety disorder, and panic disorder.

The tobacco industry understands fully well the health harms caused by its products and in fact have been forced to reimburse governments for health costs. Among the young, smoking causes faster heart rates, shortness of breath, production of more phlegm, early signs of heart diseases and stroke, limitations on performance and endurance, increased risk of lung cancers, reduced lung function, and shorter lives over time.

The tobacco industry lobbies against evidence-based life-saving tobacco control measures such as ban on flavoring (See Box 4), packaging restrictions (See Box 5), ban on all forms of advertising, nicotine regulation, and increase in price through tax measures. Governments have committed to implement these measures which are embodied in the World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (WHO FCTC); however, the tobacco industry poses the single greatest barrier to these efforts. It intends to gain a seat at the table, pre-empt or influence regulation, or secure incentives from the government by making contributions or offering partnerships to government offices or officials, offering weak draft legislation, seeking appointments for its officials or allies, bribing public officials, hiring former public officials, funding front groups and scientists to voice its interests and to cloud the debate. Although the tobacco industry would purport to support legislation to restrict access to children, the interventions supported are typically ineffective ones.

The belief that tobacco companies are benefiting society gives it the credibility and legitimacy it needs to sell to a young market. Hence, it uses political and corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities to rehabilitate its image in the area of environment, human rights, science, agriculture, public health, disaster management, and
IX. KEEPING CHILDREN IN TOBACCO FARMS

The tobacco industry casts an image of promoting sustainable tobacco farming while continuing to purchase leaves produced using child labor.\(^46\) Child labor in tobacco thrusts children into a cycle of poverty by causing health harms and restricting access to education.\(^47\) Instead of promoting a globally mandated shift towards alternative livelihood in accordance with the WHO FCTC, the tobacco industry seeks to justify tobacco growing and encourages tobacco dependence through contract farming.\(^48\)

X. USING THE SAME TACTICS TO SELL NEW ADDICTIVE PRODUCTS

The largest tobacco transnationals are behind some of the most popular brands of novel and emerging nicotine products including e-cigarettes and HTPs;\(^49\) and the backing of these transnationals have spurred global expansion.\(^50\) Decades of tactics in marketing cigarettes to manipulate the youth are currently being repeated on the new products in different countries (See Box 6 and 7).\(^51\)

Across the world, governments have recognized that there is a fundamental conflict of interest between tobacco control and public health.\(^1\) In 2015, the international community of nations committed to attain the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs); and these goals include strengthening implementation of the WHO FCTC which obliges governments to protect public health policies from the commercial and vested interests of the tobacco industry (WHO FCTC Article 5.3).\(^2\) Pursuant to this obligation, governments and public officials must limit interaction with the tobacco industry unless strictly necessary for regulation; avoid conflicts of interest; reject partnerships and contributions from the tobacco industry; require the tobacco industry to be accountable and transparent in its operations including requiring the submission of all forms of marketing, public relations, and lobbying information; denormalize and regulate so-called “socially responsible” activities of the tobacco industry; and not give in any preferential treatment, benefits, or incentives.\(^3\) These measures are intended to empower governments to resist industry influence and lobbying against policies that protect the youth from the tobacco industry’s manipulation (See Box 4 and 5).

---


BOX 1. TOBACCO TRANSNATIONALS BEHIND POPULAR BRANDS THAT HOOK KIDS

**The same tobacco transnationals** that have long been condemned for deceiving the public and luring kids into addiction are now behind the most popular brands of novel and emerging nicotine products including e-cigarettes and HTPs e.g. PMI (IQOS and Juul via Altria), BAT (Glo, ITC, Blu) JTI (Ploom), Philip Morris International (PMI or Philip Morris), through Altria, fuelled the global expansion of Juul, a brand which faced controversy for targeting kids in the US.

**JUUL’S RAPID GLOBAL EXPANSION, FUELED BY BIG TOBACCO**

Tobacco companies expanded their range of products from cigarettes to emerging and novel products like HTPs and e-cigarettes, but retains highly addictive nicotine, to hook consumers for a lifetime.
**BOX 3. TOBACCO INDUSTRY STRATEGY TO GAIN NEW CUSTOMERS**

**Cigarette and E-cigarette** products of major tobacco transnationals come in flavors that attract the youth.

*Marlboro, PMI's cigarette brand, comes in a variety of appealing flavors.*

*Juul, Altria's (Philip Morris) e-cigarette product, comes in various flavors: mango, crème brûlée, fruit medley, cucumber, etc.*

*Blu, Imperial Brand's e-cigarette product, comes in various flavors: vanilla, menthol, blueberry, etc.*
**Box 4. Tobacco Industry’s Double Standard on Flavored Products**

**Banning flavors** in tobacco products protects the youth from initiation. Protecting the rights of children includes promoting their right to health. The tobacco industry claims that it cares for kids but applies a double standard: It does not sell flavored products in some countries but continues to do so in others.

*Juul: mint, virginia tobacco, crème brulee, mango, etc.*

Used to be available in the US but is currently banned because flavors attracted a generation of teen vapers.

*Marlboro: Watermelon and Mint.*

Currently banned in the EU and US but is currently available in Brazil, Indonesia, Japan and online.

**Box 5. Tobacco Industry's Double Standard on Packaging**

**Tobacco companies are prohibited** from making tobacco packages attractive. Many countries have adopted graphic warnings covering over 60% of the packages or require plain or standardized packaging. This is not the case for countries where tobacco industry lobbying is the strongest.
Civil society reports show that in 2017, PMI announced that it has changed its ways, and even funded Foundation for a Smoke-Free World (FSFW) and launched an UNsmoke campaign to promote IQOS. However, to promote its new product, PMI adopted a marketing style that is similar to that used in Marlboro.
Evidence shows how tobacco companies have targeted young women with its cigarette advertisements from the 1970s to 1990s. E-cigarette advertisements now look much like the cigarette advertisement of the past.

**BOX 8. EVENTS MARKETING BY TOBACCO COMPANIES FOR THE YOUTH**

*Philip Morris hosts and sponsors* events, parties, concerts, and festivals with tobacco products paraphernalia that attract young users. Parties include alcohol, attractive women hosts, DJs, and live music suggesting trendiness and youthfulness. Organizers also entice attendees to post their experiences on social media.
The tobacco industry uses influencers to promote cigarettes and HTPs as lifestyle products. Digital media, including social media platforms where these are posted, can easily be accessed by the young.

**BOX 9. DIGITAL MARKETING BY TOBACCO COMPANIES FOR THE YOUTH**

Marlboro, Philip Morris (2011)

IQOS, Philip Morris (2017)

Philip Morris launched Be Marlboro in 2011 to associate Marlboro with “freedom,” “independence,” and “confidence,” among others. This was sharply criticized by public health groups for attracting youths. A few years after, in 2018, after announcing that it has transformed, PMI promoted its IQOS brand with the same brand marketing approach.

**BOX 10. BRAND MARKETING BY TOBACCO COMPANIES FOR THE YOUTH**

Marlboro, Philip Morris (2011)

IQOS, Philip Morris (2017)

Cigarettes and e-cigarettes are made available for ordering online. Young consumers in different jurisdictions are able to override the age restrictions on websites.

**BOX 11. YOUTH ACCESS TO TOBACCO PRODUCTS ONLINE**

Marlboro

Juul
**BOX 12. YOUTH ACCESS TO TOBACCO PRODUCTS THROUGH INDUSTRY PRICING STRATEGIES**

**Tobacco companies price their products low** so that school-aged kids can afford to buy tobacco products with their lunch money.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Price (Aug 2019)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>$20.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>$18.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>$13.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.K.</td>
<td>$12.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>$10.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>$10.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>$8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>$7.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>$7.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>$5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>$3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>$2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>$0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Even in places where cigarettes are already cheap**, tobacco companies adopt a pricing strategy of selling by sticks or in “kiddie packs.”

“Kiddie Pack” of 10 cigarettes, Philippines
3. Deutsche Asset Management (September 2017). Tobacco’s Investment Returns and Societal Cost: A new perspective on tobacco engagement and divestment. Retrieved from https://download.dws.com/download?lib=assetguid=118b62ad66f4f20824f0bb82e0c388&kid=nat.20170908.general.External_gb.editorial.ESG_tobacco_report_IV.mvdbEahkUkGBHoiRdRATXVYmBLCt (accessed on 25 May 2020) - “shows the Business and Sustainable Development Commission’s (2017) estimate that smoking is one of the largest societal economic burdens: USD 2.1tn or 3% of global GDP, and this is only a partial estimate of smoking’s negative impacts.”
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- "The prefrontal cortex, the brain area responsible for executive functions and attention performance, is one of the last brain areas to mature and is still in the process of developing during adolescence. This places the adolescent brain in a vulnerable state of imbalance, susceptible to the influence of psychoactive substances such as nicotine. In prefrontal networks nicotine modulates information processing on multiple levels by activating and desensitizing nicotine receptors on different cell types and in this way affects cognition. The adolescent brain is particularly sensitive to the effects of nicotine. Studies in human subjects indicate that smoking during adolescence increases the risk of developing psychiatric disorders and cognitive impairment in later life. In addition, adolescent smokers suffer from attention deficits, which aggravate with the years of smoking." See also: World Health Organization. (n.d.) Health effects of smoking among young people. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/tobacco/control/populations/youth_health_effects/en/ (accessed on 27 May 2020); citing: US Department of Health and Human Services. (2014). Preventing Tobacco Use Among Young People A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta (GA): US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health. - "Teens who smoke are three times more likely than non-smokers to use alcohol, eight times more likely to use marijuana, and 22 times more likely to use cocaine"}


who don’t smoke, and produce phlegm more than twice as often as teens suffering from shortness of breath almost three times as often as teens who don’t smoke.”


